

NexPoint Event Driven

PERFORMANCE REVIEW

The NexPoint Event Driven Fund (the "Fund") (Class Z shares) returned 0.28% in the fourth quarter of 2023. Comparatively, the S&P Merger Arbitrage Index returned 3.64%, the S&P 500 increased 11.68%, and the Bloomberg Aggregate Bond Index increased 6.82% over the same period. For 2023, the Fund returned 5.44%. Comparatively, the S&P Merger Arbitrage Index returned 6.28%, the S&P 500 returned 26.26%, and the Bloomberg Aggregate Bond Index returned 5.53% over the same period.

TOP CONTRIBUTOR

Seagen Inc. (SGEN)¹ / Pfizer Inc (PFE) : In March 2023, Seagen – a biotechnology company focused on monoclonal antibody-based drugs to treat cancer and related diseases – agreed to be acquired by Pfizer for \$42.8 billion. The deal closed on December 15, 2023. The position added approximately 0.26% to the Fund's quarterly performance.

TOP DETRACTOR

Teck Resource (TECK)¹ : In November 2023, TECK – an integrated natural resources group with activities in mining zinc, copper and metallurgical coal – announced that it had entered into an agreement to sell a majority stake in its steelmaking coal business to Glencore, and the balance of the steelmaking coal business to other minority interests for \$8.6 billion in cash proceeds, which was below street consensus. The position detracted approximately -0.32% from the Fund's quarterly performance.

MANAGER'S DISCUSSION

The equity and fixed-income markets demonstrated a robust performance in the fourth quarter, effectively reversing the October sell-off. Investors shifted their narrative from expectations of the Federal Reserve pursuing a higher-for-longer approach to anticipating rate cuts as early as March 2024. Notably, the S&P 500 and iShares High Yield ETF (HYG) recorded impressive gains of 11.68% and 7.10%, respectively, during the fourth quarter. This rally was bolstered by a significant decline in the ten-year government bond yield, dropping from a peak of 4.98% in October to 3.79% by late December.

The market sentiment swiftly transitioned from risk-off to risk-on, triggered by Federal Reserve Chairman Powell's unexpected comments during the November 1st press conference. Powell conveyed that the Fed had essentially concluded its rate hikes, catching the markets off guard. This surprise shift in the Fed's policy direction was evident in the immediate adjustments made to the forward interest rate curve, reflecting several Fed rate cuts in 2024.

As of now, the market anticipates a Fed rate cut at the March 20th meeting, influenced by a decline in the Consumer Price Index and a softer labor market. Investors are projecting a total of six cuts by year-end. However, there is a note of caution regarding interest rates. Despite the market's expectations, our outlook is more conservative, recognizing the challenges of reducing inflation from three percent to two percent compared to six percent to three percent is much more challenging and could take longer than the market expects. Our expectation is for the equity markets to remain range-bound over the next four to five months as investors observe whether the Fed indeed cuts rates in March or perhaps in June, which is our base case assumption, and if corporate earnings can grow in a slowing global economy.

M&A UPDATE:

North American and European M&A activity concluded the year on a strong note, with the combined volume reaching approximately \$2.9² trillion in 2023. Although this represented a decrease of about 10% compared to the previous year, the fourth quarter witnessed robust North American M&A activity, surging to around \$600 billion. This notable increase of approximately 36% compared to the third quarter of 2023 was a pivotal factor in elevating the second-half volume to over \$1 trillion, marking the fifth-best half-year total ever. The energy sector contributed to this surge with several mega-mergers, including Exxon Mobil's pending \$68 billion acquisition of Pioneer Natural Resources.

Analyzing historical M&A cycles, a common pattern emerges with two years of declines followed by multiple years of robust recovery. Considering the surge in second-half dealmaking and the current M&A volumes being underweight relative to historical equity markets, the outlook suggests that the M&A market may be poised for a stronger year in 2024.

However, financial sponsors' activity lagged in the second half of 2023, reaching its lowest levels since 2013 and accounting for only 29% of total M&A volume in North America. Despite a sharp decline in exits and acquisitions during both halves of 2023, the thawing of financing markets and record levels of sponsor dry powder could lead to a potential increase in take-private M&A activity in the \$1 billion to \$10 billion range for 2024.

The positive economic outlook in the U.S. for 2024, with a shift from recession concerns to a soft landing or no landing, coupled with a likely peak in interest rates, provides CEOs and boards with increased confidence to execute on transactions. The opening up of high-yield leverage loan and bond markets enables private equity firms to access more favorable financing. With the S&P 500 and private equity holding substantial cash reserves of more than \$3.8 trillion, coupled with a heightened understanding of deals likely to face scrutiny from regulatory bodies, major investment banks anticipate a more robust M&A market in the coming quarters.

REGULATORY UPDATE:

Following an extensive period of preview and consultation involving thousands of public comments, the Antitrust Division of the Department of Justice (DOJ) and the Federal Trade Commission (FTC) unveiled the final version of their 2023 Merger Guidelines in December. These guidelines, inspired by established precedents while also framing contemporary economic market dynamics, provide a framework for evaluating the potential impact of mergers and acquisitions on competition. The document outlines the analytical frameworks used by the DOJ and FTC to assess whether such transactions could significantly reduce competition or tend toward creating a monopoly, thereby violating federal antitrust laws. Aligned with the Biden administration's commitment to addressing perceived corporate consolidation, the guidelines reinforce and aim to strengthen merger enforcement, replacing both the 2010 Horizontal Merger Guidelines and the 2020 Vertical Merger Guidelines.

¹As of 12/31/2023, SGEN was 0% of the fund. As of 12/31/2023, TECK was 0% of the fund.

²Source: Citi

Although not legally binding on courts, the guidelines serve as a transparent set of principles guiding the federal antitrust agencies' decision-making processes. The final guidelines reflect responsiveness to criticisms received during the draft version phase, demonstrating an effort to align more closely with how courts currently analyze merger challenges. This nuanced approach strikes a balance between reinforcing antitrust enforcement policy and addressing concerns raised during public consultation. Overall, we find the guidelines extremely helpful for evaluating regulatory risk and have incorporated the eleven guidelines into our due diligence process.

While these guidelines expand on the factors considered in evaluating M&A activity, their impact on future court cases is not expected to be significant. Despite guiding agencies in their decisions, the guidelines lack the force of law and may face skepticism in the courts. Merging parties may encounter increased challenges, but prevailing in court is generally expected, given legal contests. Importantly, these guidelines formalize concepts that the FTC and DOJ have informally applied for over two years, showcasing the agencies' assertive stance in scrutinizing deals and their motivation to legitimize theories through formal guidelines.

In a legal proceeding context, it is anticipated that these guidelines may not significantly alter the standard by which judges examine antitrust cases. These agencies have consistently taken an assertive stance in scrutinizing deals, often employing innovative theories to challenge mergers. Legal setbacks may have motivated the FTC and DOJ to legitimize their theories through these guidelines. The potential chilling effect is likely to manifest pre-litigation, affecting smaller transactions and companies with limited resources for legal battles. For major deals involving well-resourced companies, the impact is expected to be relatively minimal. This in effect creates a Darwinian outcome to announced M&A, as deals with a high confidence of closure make it to market.

In December, the FTC continued its regulatory scrutiny with the issuance of two separate second requests, one pertaining to the acquisition of Pioneer Natural Resources by Exxon Mobil and the other concerning Hess by Chevron. Notably, Senate Majority Leader Chuck Schumer, along with 22 other Democratic senators, urged federal regulators to investigate these multibillion-dollar acquisitions by oil giants. Their concern centered around the potential for these deals to lead to higher gas prices, ultimately negatively impacting consumers. The senators argued that the acquisitions could harm competition, potentially resulting in increased consumer prices, reduced output, and negative effects on small operators and wages.

However, it's essential to provide context and challenge these concerns. In 1982, there were 27 operating East Coast refineries with a capacity of 1.8 million barrels per day. Fast forward to 2022, and this number has decreased to 7 facilities with a capacity of 800,000 barrels per day. The question raised here is a pertinent one: How did reducing refinery capacity contribute to rising gas prices for consumers?

The argument against the senators' concerns focuses on the fact that Exxon and Pioneer together would control less than 5% of U.S. oil production and less than 1% globally. Additionally, Pioneer lacks downstream assets such as pipelines, refineries, or gas stations, indicating a limited ability to impact gasoline prices. Similarly, Chevron's acquisition of Hess is seen as posing minimal risk to consumers, particularly given Hess's primary oilfield is off the coast of Guyana in South America. The combined companies have limited overlap in acreage, further reducing potential risks.

Critics find it challenging to envision how these acquisitions, with less than 5% of U.S. oil production combined, could feasibly influence global oil prices, let alone harm consumers. There is an assertion that the Biden administration and Democrats may be leveraging the FTC to pursue a political agenda rather than genuinely protecting consumers, oilfield workers, or midstream vendors. The argument contends that these regulatory actions may be more about political maneuvering during an election year than addressing concrete concerns related to competition and consumer well-being.

In the fourth quarter, there were notable positive developments on the regulatory front. In a groundbreaking move, Pfizer took a proactive step to address concerns raised by antitrust regulators regarding its acquisition of Seagen, a biotech company specializing in cancer treatments. The \$43 billion acquisition, which closed on December 14, 2023, triggered concerns about potential implications for innovation and competition in the cancer research and treatment market.

In response, Pfizer announced a strategic decision to donate the royalty rights from the sales of its cancer drug Bavencio to the American Association for Cancer Research (AACR). This move is seen as a unique and unconventional commitment by Pfizer to allay the concerns of the FTC and ensure that the acquisition does not compromise market competition or disadvantage other entities in the field of cancer research and treatment. The AACR, a nonprofit organization dedicated to preventing and curing cancer through research, education, collaboration, and policy, is expected to utilize this donation to further its mission. While the decision to donate Bavencio's royalty may be considered an unusual remedy for regulatory approval, it demonstrates Pfizer's commitment to addressing concerns and ensuring a competitive and balanced landscape in the cancer treatment sector.

However, it's worth noting that the response to this remedy has garnered some criticism. The characterization of the FTC forcing a corporation to donate money to a non-profit organization in exchange for regulatory approval is deemed odd and unconventional. Some argue that such actions are more reminiscent of bribes seen in third-world countries and not in line with expectations in a Western democracy. Despite the unconventional nature of the remedy, Pfizer's decision to make this donation is seen as a strategic move to secure regulatory approval and move forward with the acquisition.

SOFT CATALYST³ UPDATE:

In the fourth quarter, Soft Catalyst activity remained robust, providing the fund with extensive opportunities to generate alpha across the special situations landscape and begin the year on a solid note. During the quarter, there were thirty-six rumored M&A deals (five resulting in Definitive Merge Agreements), with three rumored M&A deals from the third quarter materializing into agreements in the fourth quarter. Additionally, thirty-nine activism campaigns were announced, along with thirty-three strategic reviews or asset alternatives, and eight spinoffs were announced, although none traded.

Financial conditions eased as equity markets rebounded from October lows and interest rates declined. This rally provided corporate issuers and private equity sponsors with access to equity and debt capital markets. Furthermore, a rally in leveraged credit spreads instilled confidence in financial sponsors to raise buyout debt at favorable levels due to declining rates during the quarter.

³A hard catalyst is an event that has a defined outcome. A soft catalyst is an anticipated event.

Spinoff activity subsided as more accommodative M&A conditions presented more attractive valuations. Corporations found it more advantageous to monetize assets through sales at high EBITDA multiples rather than leveraging up segments at specific leverage multiples, typical of spinoff structures. Consequently, companies scrapped spinoff plans in favor of M&A or IPO exits. Although the pipeline for announced spinoffs in 2023 to effectuate in 2024 appears robust, businesses are increasingly exploring alternative paths for monetizing non-core assets.

Shareholder activism intensified in the fourth quarter, particularly targeting underperforming businesses in Natural Resources, Media, and Telecommunications sectors. Leveraged balance sheets and declining businesses prompted targeted investor intervention, with demands often centered around asset divestitures or outright sale of a business. The fourth quarter traditionally sees an uptick in activist campaigns as underperformance becomes more evident, coinciding with conferences such as 13D Monitor & Bloomberg Activism Conference, where activists publicly launch their campaigns.

Looking ahead to 2024, the capital markets are expected to remain open to issuers and sponsors for monetization and financing transactions. M&A activity has started strong, and recent investment bank earnings commentary suggests a rebound in M&A and advisory activity, further strengthening the core special situations opportunity set. Credit markets are anticipated to offer low volatility, high carry instruments for longer-term situations, setting a promising tone for the year ahead.

The Fund maintains a high level of confidence in its strategy, which is designed to deliver investors low-volatility returns that are uncorrelated with broader fixed-income and equity markets. By prioritizing deals with appealing spreads, regulatory obstacles that can be managed effectively, and shorter expected closing timelines, the Fund strives to provide investors with a favorable risk-reward profile in merger arbitrage. Our enthusiasm remains high as we look ahead to a vibrant landscape of soft catalyst opportunities in 2024. These opportunities should be propelled by ongoing divestitures, recapitalizations, activist campaigns, and corporate arbitrage events. We want to express our sincere appreciation to our investors for their ongoing support.

Returns Since Strategy Inception January 20, 2022 (As of 12/31/2023)

Share Class/Index	Incept. **	YTD	1-Year	3-Year	5-Year	10-Year	ITD
Class A	1.20.22	5.08	5.08	N/A	N/A	N/A	3.67
Class A (w/load)	1.20.22	-0.70	-0.70	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.90
Class C	1.20.22	4.43	4.43	N/A	N/A	N/A	2.99
Class C (w/load)	1.20.22	3.43	3.43	N/A	N/A	N/A	2.99
Class Z ⁴	1.20.22	5.44	5.44	N/A	N/A	N/A	4.05

Annualized Returns (%) as of 12/31/2023

Share Class/Index	Incept.**	YTD	1-Year	3-Year	5-Year	10-Year	Since Incept.
Class A	5.5.08	5.08	5.08	-6.57	1.96	2.17	4.32
Class A (w/load)	5.5.08	-0.70	-0.70	-8.32	0.81	1.60	3.95
Class C	5.5.08	4.43	4.43	-7.18	1.31	1.54	3.70
Class C (w/load)	5.5.08	3.43	3.43	-7.18	1.31	3.70	3.70
Class Z ⁴	5.5.08	5.44	5.44	-6.25	2.34	2.55	4.70

The performance data quoted here represents past performance and is no guarantee of future results. Investment returns and principal value will fluctuate so that an investor's shares, when redeemed, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Current performance may be lower or higher than the performance data quoted. For performance data current to the most recent month-end, please call 877-665-1287.

*Returns are from January 20, 2022, to December, 2023. In 2022 the fund was renamed to NexPoint Event Driven. Prior to January 20, 2022, the Fund was managed pursuant to a different investment strategy.

**Prior to January 20, 2022, the Fund was managed pursuant to a different investment strategy. As a result of the difference in investment strategy, the performance information presented for periods prior to January 20, 2022 reflects management of the Fund consistent with investment strategies in effect during those periods and might have differed materially if the Fund's investments had been managed under its current investment strategies.

	Gross	Net
Class A	3.20	2.33
Class C	3.85	2.98
Class Z ⁴	2.85	1.98

Fees & Expenses The Net Expense Ratio excluding Investment Related Expenses is 1.50%. Investment Related expenses include acquired fund fees of 0.03% and dividend expense on short sales and other excluded expenses of 0.20%. Expenses stated as of the fund's most recent prospectus. The difference between gross and net expense ratios are due to contractual and/or voluntary waivers, if applicable. The Expense Cap will continue through at least October 31, 2024, and may not be terminated prior to this date without the action or consent of the Fund's Board of

Trustees. Performance results reflect the contractual waivers and /or reimbursements of fund expenses by the Advisor. Absent this information, performance results would have been lower. The net expense ratio would be applicable to investors.

⁴Only eligible investors may purchase Class Z Shares. Please refer to the prospectus for information and conditions.

SALES CHARGES

Class A Max Sales Charge: 5.50%. Class C Contingent Deferred Sales Charge ("CDSC") is 1% within the first year from each purchase.

The performance data quoted here represents past performance and is no guarantee of future results. Investment returns and principal value will fluctuate so that an investor's shares, when redeemed, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Current performance may be lower or higher than the performance data quoted. For performance data current to the most recent month-end, please call 877-665-1287.

Before investing in the Fund, you should carefully consider the Fund's investment objectives, risks, charges and expense. For a copy of a prospectus or summary prospectus, which contains this and other information, please visit our website at nexpoint.com or call 1-877-665-1287. Please read the fund prospectus carefully before investing.

RISK CONSIDERATIONS

Derivatives Risk. Derivatives, such as futures and options, are subject to the risk that changes in the value of a derivative may not correlate perfectly with the underlying asset, rate or index. Derivatives also expose the Fund to the credit risk of the derivative counterparty. Derivative contracts may expire worthless and the use of derivatives may result in losses to the Fund. **Industry Concentration Risk.** Because the Fund normally invests at least 80% of the value of its assets in healthcare companies, the Fund's performance largely depends on the overall condition of the healthcare industry and the Fund is susceptible to economic, political and regulatory risks or other occurrences associated with the healthcare industry. **Leverage Risk.** Leverage may increase the risk of loss, cause fluctuations in the market value of the Fund's portfolio to have disproportionately large effects or cause the NAV of the Fund generally to decline faster than it would otherwise. **Micro, Small and Mid-Cap Securities Risk.** Investments in securities of companies with micro, small or medium capitalizations involve certain risks that may differ from, or be greater than, those for larger companies, such as higher volatility, lower trading volume, fewer business lines and lack of public information. **Non-U.S. Securities Risk.** Investments in securities of non-U.S. issuers involve certain risks not involved in domestic investments (for example, expropriation or political or economic instability). **Portfolio Turnover Risk.** High portfolio turnover will increase the Fund's transaction costs and may result in increased realization of net short-term capital gains, higher taxable distributions and lower after-tax performance. **Short Sales Risk.** The risk of short sales theoretically involves unlimited loss potential since the market price of securities sold short may continuously increase. **Hedging Risk.** Hedging is a strategy for reducing exposure to investment risk. An investor can hedge the risk of one investment by taking an offsetting position in another investment. The values of the offsetting investments should be inversely correlated. There is no assurance that hedging strategies will be successful. **Merger Arbitrage and Event-Driven Risk** is the risk that the Adviser's evaluation of the outcome of a proposed event, whether it be a merger, reorganization, regulatory issue or other event, will prove incorrect and that the Fund's return on the investment will be negative. Even if the Adviser's judgment regarding the likelihood of a specific outcome proves correct, the expected event may be delayed or completed on terms other than those originally proposed, which may cause the Fund to lose money. The Fund's expected gain on an individual arbitrage investment is normally considerably smaller than the possible loss should the transaction be unexpectedly terminated. **Special Purpose Acquisition Companies Risk** is the risk that the Fund may invest in stock of, warrants to purchase stock of, and other interests in special purpose acquisition companies or similar special purpose entities that pool funds to seek potential acquisition opportunities (collectively, "SPACs"). Because SPACs and similar entities have no operating history or ongoing business other than seeking acquisitions, the value of their securities is particularly dependent on the ability of the entity's management to identify and complete a profitable acquisition.

Index Definitions: Index returns assume reinvestment of all dividends and distributions, if any. Indices are unmanaged, have no fees or costs and are not available for investment. The performance of the indices may be materially different from the Fund's performance. In addition, the Fund's holdings may differ significantly from the securities that comprise the indices. The indices have not been selected to represent an appropriate benchmark to compare a fund's performance, but rather are disclosed to allow for comparison of the Fund's performance to that of certain well-known and widely recognized indices. It is not possible to invest directly in an index. **S&P 500 Total Return Index** is an index of a basket of 500 stocks designed to provide a broad snapshot of the overall U.S. equity market. The total return index series reflects both ordinary and special dividends. Investors cannot invest directly into an index. **S&P Merger Arbitrage Index.** The S&P Merger Arbitrage Index seeks to provide a risk arbitrage strategy that exploits commonly observed price changes associated with a global selection of publicly announced mergers, acquisitions and other corporate reorganizations. Historically, the index has exhibited market neutral characteristics, lower volatility compared to the S&P 500, and a low correlation to S&P 500 returns. Index returns are for illustrative purposes only and do not represent actual Fund performance. Index returns do not reflect any management fees, transaction costs or expenses. Indexes are unmanaged and one cannot invest directly in an index. Past performance does not guarantee future results. **Bloomberg US Aggregate Index.** The Bloomberg US Agg Index is a broad-based flagship benchmark that measures the investment grade, US dollar-denominated, fixed-rate taxable bond market. The index includes Treasuries, government-related and corporate securities, MBS (agency fixed-rate pass-throughs), ABS and CMBS (agency and non-agency).

The advisor to the Fund is NexPoint Asset Management L.P., (Advisor). The Advisor and NexPoint Securities, Inc. are affiliated.

Prepared by NexPoint Securities, Inc., Member FINRA/SIPC